

<b>Family Name</b>	Hudson
<b>Given Name</b>	James
<b>Person ID</b>	1287107
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Hudson
<b>Given Name</b>	James
<b>Person ID</b>	1287107
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>i have left the question about legal compliance blank, because i don't know, like most people will not know. this focus on legalities seems intentional to deter objections and confuse people responding.</p> <p>in regards to the duty to co-operate, i cant see how this is being done, the local MP Jonathan Reynolds has objected to the Apethorn lane site since the very beginning. the only traffic monitoring i have been aware of in the last few years was done over a holiday period, which seems to be to ensure lower numbers returned. Will the results and details of traffic surveys do be published? if they have already been published, can you tell me where they are?</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>section 11.301 to 11.319 that cover this development make reference to the restoration of the Apethorn farm and Polebank hall sites - this could be don without the rest of the planned development of houses if restoration of historical sites is seen as important to this plan and not just as something offered to try and justify it.</p> <p>can it be demonstrated that these housing numbers are required and based on post brexit numbers?</p> <p>section 11.312 refers to making sure the new development keeps a 'semi rural' feel, but does not mention maintaining the semi rural status of the current houses - for which this is probably going to be lost.</p> <p>a 'brown site preference' has been mentioned as integral to the overall plan, but no brown field sites seem to be listed for tameside? only greenbelt sites that will be re-allocated.</p>